

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Ab initio calculations on the structure and vibrational properties of some phosphorus-selenium molecules: applications to zero-dimensional glasses

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1989 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 1 6039 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/1/35/004)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.93 The article was downloaded on 10/05/2010 at 18:42

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

# *Ab initio* calculations on the structure and vibrational properties of some phosphorus–selenium molecules: applications to zero-dimensional glasses

G M S Lister and R Jones

Physics Department, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4QL, UK

Received 12 April 1989

**Abstract.** We use local density functional theory to calculate the structure and vibrational properties of small molecules containing phosphorus and selenium atoms in varying concentrations in an attempt to shed light on the composition of zero-dimensional P-Se glasses. We examine the structure and modes of  $P_4Se_n$ , n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10,  $P_8Se_8$  and  $P_{10}Se_6$ . Our results enable us to exclude some molecules from being present. For the Se-rich glasses we find that the singly bonded molecules  $P_4Se_n$ , n = 3, 4, 5, 6 are stable and are likely to be present. For the P-rich glasses  $P_4Se_3$ ,  $P_4Se_2$  and possibly  $P_{10}Se_6$  are present.

#### 1. Introduction

The structure of P–Se alloys has recently excited interest because of suggestions by Price *et al* (1984), Verrall and Elliott (1988) and Phillips *et al* (1989) that they are representative of what are called zero-dimensional glasses. That is, for some compositions, they consist of discrete molecules bonded together by van der Waals forces in contrast to a continuous random network of Se–Se, P–P and Se–P bonds. Such glasses possess very sharp Raman lines, as found by Phillips *et al*, which are closely correlated with vibrations of the individual molecules. Glass formation in  $P_x Se_{1-x}$  occurs for x < 0.52 (the Se-rich glasses) and for 0.63 < x < 0.85 (the P-rich glasses). For  $0.52 < x < 0.63 P_4 Se_3$  readily crystallises preventing glass formation. There are two crystalline forms of  $P_4 Se_3$ : alpha and beta; the latter is a plastic crystal and is stable above 355 K. Both consist of the cage molecule illustrated in figure 1. Most workers consider that  $P_4 Se_3$  plays a central role in P–Se glasses.

The Se-rich glasses were studied extensively by Price *et al* using neutron diffraction, Raman spectroscopy and EXAFS. They conclude that  $P_4Se_n$  cage molecules with n = 3, 4 and 5 dominate the structure for x = 0.5, 0.4 and 0.25. The Raman data for  $P_{0.5}Se_{0.5}$ has many modes close to those of  $P_4Se_3$ . Lines due to the stretching of Se–Se bonds, which are expected to occur at about 254 cm<sup>-1</sup>, are weak for this glass but become the dominant feature for x = 0.4 and x = 0.25. It is not clear, for small x, whether Se–Se chains coexist with the discrete molecular units or bond these units together as in  $(P_4Se_3)_2Se_2$ . The molecules  $P_4Se_4$  and  $P_4Se_5$  have many isomers; however,  $P_4Se_5$  has been prepared in crystalline form (Penney and Sheldrick 1971) and possesses a P atom attached to Se by a double bond. The stretch frequency of this bond is about 500 cm<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure 1. The calculated structure of P<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>.

Now, although modes with this frequency are seen by Price *et al* for x < 0.4 they are attributed to overtones of the Se–Se stretch frequency mentioned above. Thus it may be that the P<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>n</sub> molecules are made up entirely of single bonds. However, Monteil and Vincent (1977) claim to have prepared an amorphous compound corresponding to P<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>10</sub> which they suggest is a cage structure with five double-bonded Se atoms. They analysed the vapour by mass spectrometry and found an infra-red absorption around 500 cm<sup>-1</sup>. There is then considerable uncertainty about which cage molecules are present in these glasses.

For the P-rich glasses, Verrall and Elliott carried out inelastic neutron scattering experiments and concluded that  $P_{0.67}Se_{0.33}$  has six modes close to those of  $P_4Se_3$  whereas Phillips et al, using Raman spectroscopy, resolved nine modes for the same glass. Verrall and Elliott also found a mode around 278 cm<sup>-1</sup> and, as this mode is not found in  $P_4Se_3$ , attributed it to phosphous clusters either arising from phase separation or comprising bridging units between  $P_4Se_3$  units as for example  $(P_4Se_3)_2P_2$ . This mode was not reported by Phillips et al. For concentrations of P greater than 0.67 the correspondence between the Raman lines with those of  $P_4Se_3$  becomes more tenuous. Thus there is a clear need to learn about the modes of cage molecules having a great range of P and Se content. We report here results of calculations on the structure and vibrational modes of  $P_4Se_n$  for n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10 as well as  $P_8Se_8$  and  $P_{10}Se_6$ . We use *ab initio* local density pseudo-potential theory whose only input parameters are atomic numbers and atomic masses. Where comparison can be made with experiment, namely for  $P_4$ ,  $P_4Se_3$ ,  $P_4Se_5$  we find bond lengths and angles to within 1 or 2%. These inaccuracies give an error in the vibrational modes of about 30 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Nevertheless the calculations reveal significant trends with molecular Se content. The method used successfully describes the structure and vibrational properties of small molecules (Jones and Sayyash 1986) as well as bond lengths and bulk modes in silicon and diamond (Jones 1988). We have also shown that the method describes well the structure and dynamic properties of Hittorf's P. (Briddon and Jones 1989).

#### 2. Method

We use self-consistent local density functional theory with normconserving pseudopotential to calculate the total energy of the molecule as a function of the nuclear coordinates. Details of our method have been published elsewhere (Jones 1988). We allow structural relaxation of the whole molecule and converge the energy to  $10^{-5}$  au. The dynamical matrix is then evaluated and diagonalised to obtain the vibrational frequencies and modes.

Our wavefunction basis set for the calculation consists of s and p Gaussian orbitals centred on the atomic sites and on the bond centres. We have tested two basis sets, the first has 16 orbitals per P atom, 20 per Se atom and 4 per bond centre. This amounts to a total of d = 160 basis functions for P<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>. The smaller basis simply has 4 orbitals per atom and per bond centre. In order to avoid the problem of evaluating O(d<sup>4</sup>) integrals per self-consistency loop we introduce a charge-density-fitting basis comprising s Gaussians centred on atoms and bond centres. For this basis we use 4, 5 and 1 s Gaussians for P atoms, Se atoms and bond centres respectively. The different basis sets yield structural results which differ by about 2%.

The force acting on each atom was evaluated by a semi-analytic expression and the structures relaxed using a conjugate gradient method. The dynamical matrix was formed by numerical differentiation of the forces acting on each atom in the relaxed state. Numerical derivatives were evaluated using a double-sided formula with a displacement of 0.35 au and, although taking numerical derivatives is notoriously difficult, we believe that the error introduced in the vibrational frequencies in doing so is only about 10 cm<sup>-1</sup>. More serious is the sensitivity of the results to the length of the P–P bond. We find that the high-frequency modes—those associated with the P–P vibrations—may vary by as much as  $30 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  for a 1% change in the P–P bond length, and we consistently overestimate the high-frequency modes by about this much.

#### 3. Results and discussion

Rather than give every bond length and bond angle for every molecule considered, we shall present the structural results in detail for  $P_4$ ,  $P_4S_3$ , the three forms of  $P_4Se_4$ , and the  $P_4Se_5$  molecule as synthesised by Penney and Sheldrick, then merely mention some relevant details for the other molecules. Our rationale for so doing is that these six molecules are sufficient to expose the strengths and weaknesses of our method and that what is important for the remaining molecules, in terms of identifying them in a glass, is not so much their structure but their vibrational modes. The results from these six molecules are a good illustration of the effect on the vibrational spectra of the characteristic types of bonding present, especially the presence of the  $P_3$  ring and the P—Se double bond.

#### 3.1. $P_4Se_3$

We start with  $P_4Se_3$  (figure 1) since it is thought to be the main component of many of the P–Se glasses. The experimentally observed average P–P and P–Se bond lengths are 2.25 Å and 2.24 Å respectively (Keulen and Vos 1959). We obtain the values 2.20 Å and 2.26 Å. The experimentally observed average Se–P–Se, P–Se–P, Se–P–P and P–P–P bond angles are 99.9°, 100.1°, 105.3° and 60.1° respectively. We obtain the values 98.3°,





101.2°, 105.5° and 60°. Our results differ most in the length of the P–P bond, which we predict to be 2% shorter than that observed. In all other respects the agreement is very good. The calculated vibrational frequencies are given in figure 7 below, where we have indicated the E modes as broken lines, along with those measured for  $\alpha$ -P<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> (Bues *et al* 1980). Bues *et al* give Raman spectra for various forms of P<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>, namely  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and the melt, and although most of the lines are coincident there is some variability for those seen at about 320 cm<sup>-1</sup> and the weak mode at 160 cm<sup>-1</sup> is seen only in the  $\beta$ -form and the melt, and was not reported at all by Price *et al*. This mode is assigned as an E mode by Bues *et al*. We believe that this assignment is in error and consistently find that the calculated mode at about 160 cm<sup>-1</sup> is an A<sub>2</sub> mode and is therefore Raman inactive in the vapour, but it could lead to weak lines in the melt. Instead we would place the E mode at about 210 cm<sup>-1</sup>, very close to an A<sub>1</sub> mode. Our calculated highest-frequency mode corresponds to the breathing mode of the basal P<sub>3</sub> ring and is overestimated by 8% due to the 2% underestimate in the P–P bond length.

#### 3.2. P<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>4</sub>

We have considered three possible structures for  $P_4Se_4$ . They are shown in figures 2, 3 and 4, where the calculated bond lengths and angles are indicated. The first structure (referred to as  $P_4Se_4$  l in figure 7) is that proposed by Monteil and Vincent (1975) in order to account for their infra-red data. It is obtained by adding an extra Se atom to  $P_4S_3$  by means of a double bond to a basal P atom. The length of the P—Se double bond is in very good agreement with that obtained experimentally by Penney and Sheldrick for  $P_4Se_5$ . They find a value of 2.12 Å and we get 2.08 Å. It is of interest to see how attaching this extra Se atom to the basal  $P_3$  ring affects the vibrational modes. These are shown in figure 7. There is still a high-frequency mode in this molecule, but now instead of being associated with the  $P_3$  ring it is due to the P—Se vibration. It is not easy to follow any of the modes in particular as we increase the Se content, but overall they do not differ substantially from those of  $P_4Se_3$ .



Figure 3. The calculated structure of P<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>4</sub>II.

Our second choice of  $P_4Se_4$  is obtained by attaching the additional Se atom by a double bond to the apicial P atom of  $P_4Se_3$ . The resulting structure is given in figure 3 and we refer to it as  $P_4Se_4II$  in figure 7. As expected, the vibrational modes are similar to those of the previous  $P_4Se_4$  molecule, except that now there are two high-frequency modes—one associated with the  $P_3$  ring and the other associated with the P—Se double bond. This molecule retains the  $C_{3v}$  symmetry of  $P_4Se_3$ , which accounts for the grouping of nearly degenerate lines in the spectrum (our calculated spectra do not exhibit exact degeneracy due to the numerical errors incurred when taking the second derivatives).

The final  $P_4Se_4$  molecule was obtained by breaking the  $P_3$  ring and inserting the extra Se atom to form a P–Se–P linkage. It is illustrated in figure 4 and referred to as  $P_4Se_4III$ in figure 7. The most striking feature in its vibrational spectrum is the absence of highfrequency modes, a feature which is obviously due to the removal of the  $P_3$  ring. It was suggested by Price *et al*, based on the result that infrared bands observed by Monteil and Vincent agree to some extent with those in  $P_{0.4}Se_{0.6}$ , that a dominant molecular unit in  $P_{0.5}Se_{0.5}$  is  $P_4Se_4$ : presumably in that form suggested by Monteil and Vincent. However, the failure to observe a mode at 500 cm<sup>-1</sup> strongly suggests that if indeed  $P_4Se_4$  is a major component of  $P_{0.5}Se_{0.5}$  then it is the singly bonded molecule which is present and not those with double bonds. Our total-energy calculations show that the three molecules have almost identical energies.

## 3.3. P<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>5</sub>

One form of this molecule has been synthesised by Penney and Sheldrick. They determined the structure as that arising from our  $P_4Se_4III$  by adding an additional Se atom to one of the basal P atoms with a double bond (see figure 6 below). This molecule was obtained at room temperature by reacting  $P_4Se_3$  and Br. We have considered another



Figure 4. The calculated structure of P<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>4</sub>III.

possibility whereby a basal P–P bond is broken and the Se inserted. This second molecule has no double bonds and therefore has a rather different vibrational structure. The spectra of both molecules are shown in figure 7, where the original is labelled  $P_4Se_5I$  and the other  $P_4Se_5II$ . The highest-frequency mode of  $P_4Se_5I$  is associated with the stretching vibration of the P—Se double bond. Price *et al* proposed that  $P_4Se_5I$  is a major component of  $P_{0.25}Se_{0.75}$ ; we would argue that if a molecule with this stoichiometry is a major component and the mode observed at 505 cm<sup>-1</sup> is a Se–Se overtone, then it is not the double-bonded species which is present. Our total-energy calculations again indicate that both molecules have almost identical energies.

#### 3.4. $P_4Se_6$ and $P_4Se_{10}$

 $P_4Se_6$  has a highly symmetrical (symmetry group  $T_d$ ); it is obtained by removing the three P-P bonds in  $P_4Se_3$  by inserting Se atoms. The P-Se bond length is 2.31 Å, and the P-Se-P and Se-P-Se bond angles are 105° and 115° respectively.  $P_4Se_{10}$  has  $T_d$  symmetry and is obtained from  $P_4Se_6$  by adding doubly bonded Se atoms to each P; the P-Se single and double bonds are 2.31 Å and 2.13 Å respectively and all bond angles are 109°.

Monteil and Vincent found, for  $P_4Se_{10}$  in the vapour phase, a very strong infrared absorption at about 500 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which they attribute to the P—Se double bond; we calculate modes in the range 460–490 cm<sup>-1</sup> (see figure 7).

It is rather surprising, considering its high symmetry, that  $P_4Se_6$  has not been synthesised. We have calculated its vibrational spectrum (figure 7) and find that it has modes only at  $<380 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . It cannot be the only component of the  $P_{0.4}Se_{0.6}$  glass since Price *et al* report modes at 440 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## 3.5. P<sub>8</sub>Se<sub>8</sub>

We have calculated the vibrational spectrum of  $P_8Se_8$  in an attempt to verify an idea put forward by Verrall *et al.* They suggest that, in order to retain the vibrational character of  $P_4Se_3$ , Se-rich glasses may form by polymerisation. This molecule is the simplest in a series of polymers with increasing Se content. It is formed by attaching two  $P_4Se_3$ molecules via a pair of Se atoms bonded to the basal P atoms. The resulting form is stable, but the  $P_3$  ring is no longer intact. The modes of this molecule are given in figure 7. The wide range of modes suggests that the Raman spectrum should consist of broad bands rather than discrete lines associated with the smaller cages.

## 3.6. P<sub>10</sub>Se<sub>6</sub>

The phosphorus-rich glasses have only recently been studied in any depth. Verrall *et al* used neutron diffraction to obtain a vibrational density of states for  $P_{0.67}Se_{0.33}$  and argue that the material comprises  $P_4Se_3$  molecular units embedded in some kind of P network. They propose further, based on the appearance of a mode at 278 cm<sup>-1</sup> on increasing P content, that the P network may comprise Hittorf's  $P_8$  and  $P_9$  cages. The simplest molecule to exhibit a  $P_8$ -like cage and retain some  $P_4Se_3$  character is  $P_{10}Se_6$ . Figure 7 shows that  $P_{10}Se_6$  does have vibrational modes at about 280 cm<sup>-1</sup> and like Hittorf's phosphorus at about 480 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Phillips *et al* have performed Raman spectroscopy on samples of  $P_4Se_3$  molecules embedded in a P matrix, but they do not see any evidence for  $P_8$  or  $P_9$  cages.

#### 3.7. $P_4$ , $P_4$ Se and $P_4Se_2$

It may be that the P-rich glasses contain the molecules  $P_4Se_n$  with n = 0, 1, 2, and 3. We have evaluated the vibrational spectra of  $P_4$ ,  $P_4Se_1$ ,  $P_4Se_2$ , (we consider only those molecules obtained from  $P_4$  with single P–Se bonds). The  $P_4$  molecule assumes tetrahedral symmetry and is shown in figure 5. Its vibrational frequencies are given in figure 7. The experimentally observed bond length is 2.21 Å and the frequencies are 363, 456 and 606 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Gutowsky and Hoffman 1950). Our results are in reasonable agreement with those experimentally observed although they are somewhat overestimated, due to the underestimation of the P–P bond length.

We see from figure 6 that the  $P_4$ Se molecule retains some of the characteristic high frequencies of  $P_4$  and argue therefore that this species is probably not present in the Prich glasses.  $P_4$ Se<sub>2</sub> on the other hand has a spectrum which does not differ appreciably from that of  $P_4$ Se<sub>3</sub> and may well be present.

#### 4. Conclusions

The *ab initio* calculations have given excellent results for the structures of known  $P_4Se_n$  molecules with n = 0, 3 and 5. The vibrational modes of these molecules are also in reasonable agreement with experiment. We believe, however, that the assignment of the 160 cm<sup>-1</sup> line to an E mode in  $P_4Se_3$  is in error. We think that it is an  $A_2$  mode and that the E mode lies close to an  $A_1$  mode at 200–210 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The energies of isomers of  $P_4Se_4$  containing respectively double P=Se bonds and single P-Se-P bonds are



Figure 5. The calculated structure of P<sub>4</sub>.



Figure 6. The calculated structure of  $P_4Se_5I$ .

extremely close. We cannot conclude then that the glasses favour one or other. However, their frequencies differ enormously, especially around 500–550 cm<sup>-1</sup>. If Price *et al* are correct in that these modes are due to Se–Se overtones in the Se-rich glass (x < 0.4) then the double-bonded units cannot be present which leaves the possibility that the single-bonded molecules  $P_4Se_n$ , n = 3, 4, 5 and 6, are present. The polymerised units like





 $(P_4Se_3)_2Se_2$  and  $(P_4Se_3)_2P_2$  have a broad band of modes which is inconsistent with the observation of sharp Raman lines. In the P-rich glasses the modes of  $P_4Se_2$  are very close to those of  $P_4Se_3$ . We consider then it is not easy to exclude either molecule from being present.

 $P_4$  and  $P_4Se$  are also stable and have very high frequencies >530 cm<sup>-1</sup> and as there is no evidence of these lines, we conclude that these molecules are not present in the P-rich glasses.

## Acknowledgments

We are pleased to acknowledge the many contributions made by the following: Patrick Briddon and Drs Malcolm Heggie, Stephen Elliott, Richard Phillips and Daniel Wolverson.

## References

Briddon P and Jones R 1989 J. Phys. Condens. Matter at press
Bues W, Somer M and Brockner W 1980 Z. Naturf. b 35 1063-9
Gutowsky H S and Hoffman C J 1950 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 72 5751-2
Jones R 1988 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 21 5735-45
Jones R and Sayyash A 1986 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 19 L653-7
Keulen E and Vos A 1959 Acta Crystallogr. 12 323-9
Monteil Y and Vincent H 1975 Z. Anorg. (Allg.) Chem. 416 181-6
— 1977 Z. Anorg. (Allg.) Chem. 428 259-68
Penney G J and Sheldrick G M 1971 J. Chem. Soc. A 245-8
Phillips R T, Wolverson D, Burdis M S and Fang Y 1989 Phys. Rev. Lett. at press
Price D L, Misawa M, Susman S, Morrison T I, Shenoy G K and Grimsditch M 1984 J. Non-Cryst. Solid 66 443-65
Verrall D J and Elliott S R 1988 Phys. Rev. Lett. 61 974-7